2002 Vol. 4, No. 3 313–316

Redox Refunctionalization of Steroid Spiroketals. Structure Correction of Ritterazine M[†]

Seongmin Lee, Thomas G. LaCour, Douglas Lantrip, and Philip L. Fuchs*

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 pfuchs@purdue.edu

Received August 15, 2001 (Revised Manuscript Received October 30, 2001)

ABSTRACT

The structure of the North spiroketal moiety of ritterazine M has been corrected from 1a to 1b. This was accomplished by comparison of published spectra of the natural product with five synthetic spiroketal—alcohols. Synthesis of these models was efficiently accomplished by reductive cleavage of the spiroketal and *Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of an isopentyl, methyl 1,1-disubstituted olefin*, followed by Suarez iodine[III] oxidative spirocyclization of monoprotected 1°,3° 1,2 diols.

In conjunction with our program to synthesize trisdecacyclic pyrazine anticancer agents related to the cephalostatins and ritterazines, we have developed an extensive computer database that correlates structure and bioactivity. In applying this activity model to the previously reported pyrazines, we noted that ritterazine M² deviated substantially from the activity anticipated. Since we were using this correlation to predict structures for synthesis, it was imperative to verify the structure of this key substrate. Examination of NMR data suggested the possibility of misassignment of the stereochemistry at C-12, C-22, and C-25, but we felt that synthesis

The synthesis of our target spiroketals begins with C-12 α-benzoate 5, available in two operations in 79% overall yield from hecogenin acetate 2, an inexpensive plant-derived spiroketal.³ Spiroketal cleavage using silane—BF₃•OEt₂

of spiroketals $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E}$ was essential for providing a sound basis for settling the structural assignment (Scheme 1).

[†] Cephalostatin Support Studies. 20. For 19, see: LaCour, T. G.; Guo, C.; Boyd, M. R.; Fuchs, P. L. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, 2, 33–36.

⁽¹⁾ Lacour, T. G., Fuchs, P. L., manuscript in preparation.

⁽²⁾ Fukuzawa, S.; Matsunaga, S.; Fusetani, N. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 6707–6716.

⁽³⁾ Jautelat, R.; Winterfeldt, E. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1996**, *338*, 695–701. Drogenmuller, M.; Jautelat, R.; Winterfeldt, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 1572–1574.

⁽⁴⁾ Oikawa, M.; Oikawa, H.; Ichihara, A. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 6237–6254


⁽⁵⁾ For six additional stereospecific examples of this useful transformation in 5/6 and 5/5 spiroketals, see Tables 1 and 2 of the Supporting Information.

Table 1. Asymmetric Dihydroxylation of the C-25 Olefin

substrate	X	X'	Y	Z	C14-C15	ligand	time (h)	product	% yield	25 <i>S</i> :25 <i>R</i>
13a	Н	OBz	Н	Н	Δ^{14}	(DHQ) ₂ PHAL	8	14a	96	5.9:1 ^a
13b	Н	OBz	Н	Н	Δ^{14}	(DHQ) ₂ PYR	28	14b	98	$1.2:1^{b}$
13c	OBz	Н	Н	Н	Δ^{14}	(DHQ) ₂ PHAL	11	14c	96	$5.4:1^{a}$
13d	OBz	Н	OH	Н	14α-Η	(DHQ) ₂ PHAL	16	14d	95	$1:1^{b}$
13e	O	O	Н	OMe	14α-Η	(DHQ) ₂ PHAL	13	14e	94	$1.5:1^{c}$

^a Ratio based on the integration of 26-H of the radical cyclization product. ^b Ratio based on the integration of 18-Me of the diol. ^c Ratio based on the integration of 22-methoxy group.

reduction⁴ stereospecifically delivers primary alcohol **6**.⁵ Conversion of **6** to the primary iodide (not shown) by treatment with triphenylphosphine, iodine, and imidazole⁶ followed by elimination with DBU in DMF gives olefin **7** in 87% yield (Scheme 2).



^a (a) hv, 25 °C, 4 d; (b) ZnBr₂, DCM, 25 °C, 2 h; (c) BzCl, DMAP, pyr., 25 °C 5 h; (d) BF₃•OEt₂, Et₃SiH, DCM, 0 °C, 4 h; (e) i. PPh₃, I₂, imidazole, Et₂O/MeCN, 0 °C, 2 h; ii. DBU, DMF, 80 °C, 2 h.

Woodward's internal redox mechanism⁷ for C-25 epimerization via C-26 to C-22 hydride transfer as adopted by Pettit⁸ for the LAH-mediated reduction of steroidal spiroketals (Scheme 3) has so cemented synthetic thinking that the possibility of direct hydride addition to a C-22 oxonium ion is seldom considered.

We employ Et₃SiH/BF₃·OEt₂ at 0 °C for spiroketal reductions. The reaction takes the same stereochemical course

regardless of configuration or substituents at C-12/C-14 (**5a**, **5b**, **8**) or C-25/C-26 (**11a**, **11b** (Scheme 3). It is noteworthy that this mild, selective method reduces 5/5 spiroketals (1,6-dioxaspiro[4.4]nonanes), in contrast to LAH/AlCl₃. Only 22 α D compounds were obtained with Et₃SiD, confirming that *the internal redox process was inoperative*. Ethanedithiol/BF₃·OEt₂ with **8** gave exclusively 22 α H-26-thioketal **10**. The latter reaction required 25 °C, suggesting that internal hydride transfer might be controlled by temperature choice. Indeed, ~30% 22-H and ~15% 26-D was found at 25 °C using Et₃SiD with **8**. Ireland reported a similar temperature dependence. Pettit had also shown that LAH(D)/AlCl₃ (0

314 Org. Lett., Vol. 4, No. 3, 2002

⁽⁶⁾ LaCour, T. G.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 4655.(7) Woodward, R. B.; Sondheimer, F.; Mazur, Y.*J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, 80, 6693.

^{(8) (}a) Pettit, G. R.; Bowyer, W. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1960**, *25*, 84. (b) Pettit, G. R.; Albert, A. H.; Brown, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 8095. (c) Albert, A. H.; Pettit, G. R.; Brown, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 2197.

Table 2. Application of the Suarez Oxidation to the C-25,26 Diol Derivatives

substrate	X	Y	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	C14-C15	PhI(OAc) ₂ :I ₂ (equiv)	T (°C)	time (h)	products ^a	% yield
18a	Н	OBz	Н	Н	Δ^{14}	1.1:0.5	25	5	19α	94^b
18b	OBz	Н	TBDPS	Н	14α-Η	2.5:1.0	0	3	$19\beta/22\beta$	42/51
18c	Н	OBz	Ac	Н	Δ^{14}	2.0:1.0	0	12	$22\alpha/23\alpha$	78/13
18d	H	OBz	Н	Bz	Δ^{14}	2.0:2.0	0	8	$20\alpha/21\alpha$	77/14
18e	OBz	Н	Н	Bz	Δ^{14}	2.0:2.0	0	7	$22\beta/23\beta$	78/14
18f	OBz	Н	Bz	Н	Δ^{14}	2.0:2.0	0	7	$20\beta/21\beta$	75/15

^a The α- and β-compounds have the α- and β-C-12 benzoate, respectively. ^b Using HgO, I₂, CCl₄, 25 °C, 15 h gives a yield of 19α of 77%.

°C, 1 h, then 40 °C, 2 h) gave $22\alpha H(D)$ products with a series of steroids, ruling out internal delivery of hydride, yet AlCl₃ and dithiol at 25 °C gave a C-26 thioacetal.^{8c}

As expected from our previous studies, ¹⁰ selective asymmetric dihydroxylation of the olefin moiety proved especially difficult. Establishment of a workable excess of the requisite 25*S* diastereomer was only obtained when employing the (DHQ)₂PHAL ligand (Table 1). This effect extended to both C-12 benzoates but was only seen with the C-14,15 olefins, while sp³ centers at C-14,15 completely eroded the reagent-based stereoselection.

Having developed a satisfactory protocol for installation of the distal C-25(*S*),26 diol moiety, we next turned to reestablishment of the spiroketals. For this transformation we adopted the hypoiodite method so successfully pioneered by Suarez. In preparation for reestablishment of the 5/6 spiroketal (1,6-dioxaspiro[4,5]decane) [VITA 143] it was necessary to protect the tertiary alcohol in order to avoid oxidative cleavage of the 1,2-diol. While silylation of the primary alcohol of the C-25(*S*)-rich diol mixture followed by acetylation of the tertiary alcohol generates **15a** in 21% overall yield, numerous fluoride and acidic attempts to deprotect silyl ether **15a** to alcohol **17a** resulted in extensive acyl migration, mainly yielding primary acetate **16a** with

variable but minor amounts of the desired primary alcohol 17a (Scheme 4). In stark contrast, silyl benzoate 15b is

readily deprotected to tertiary benzoate 17b in 96% yield without formation of any of the acyl migration product 16b.

Application of the Suarez oxidation¹¹ to the C-25,26 diol derivatives prepared in Table 1 revealed several important points (Table 2). The first of these was that diols and monosilyl ether were not appropriate substrates as they suffered significant to extensive cleavage to ketone 19 (18a-b). Primary monoacetate 17a cyclized with high efficiency to stereospecifically provide 5/5 spiroketals, revealing the osmylation ratio of $22\alpha/23\alpha$ to be 5.9:1 (18c). The monobenzoates (18d-f) proved to be the substrates of choice, each affording a high yield of the desired 5/6 spiroketals 20/21, which were easily separated by chromatography at this stage. Global deprotection of 20α , 21α , 20β , 21β , and 22α provided target spiroketals A, B, C, D, and E (Scheme 1), respectively.


Using a 3-D presentation of the Kishi comparison format (Figure 1),¹² it appears that the North spiroketal unit of ritterazine M bears the unusual α axial C-12 alcohol along with the more typical α C-22 oxygen configuration and C-25 axial alcohol as shown in the corrected structure **1b**. A point

Org. Lett., Vol. 4, No. 3, 2002

⁽⁹⁾ Ireland, R. E.; Daub, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1303-1312.
(10) Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768. Sharpless, K. B.; Crispino, G. A.; Jeong, K. S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3785. Kim, S. K.; Sutton, S. C.; Guo, C.; LaCour, T.G.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2056-2070. Jeong, J. U.; Guo, C.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2071-2084.

⁽¹¹⁾ Concepcion, J. L.; Francisco, C. G.; Hernandez, R.; Salazar, J. A.; Suarez, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 1953–1956. de Armas, P.; Concepcion, J. I.; Francisco, C. G.; Hernanez, R.; Salazar, J. A.; Suarez, E. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, 405–411. Martin, A.; Salazar, J. A.; Suarez, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 4489–4492. Furuta, K.; Nagata, T.; Yamamoto, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2215–2218. Betancor, C.; Dorta, R. L.; Freire, R.; Prange, T.; Suarez, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 8822–8825.

⁽¹²⁾ Lee, J.; Kobayashi, Y.; Tezuka, K.; Kishi, Y. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 2181–2184. Kobayashi, Y.; Lee, J.; Tezuka, K.; Kishi, Y. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 2177–2180.






Figure 1. Differences in NMR shift values when compared to authentic ritterazine M. A: 12α -OH, 25S, 5/6 spiroketal, 3β -OH, Δ^{14} . B: 12α -OH, 25R, 5/6 spiroketal, 3β -OH, Δ^{14} . C: 12β -OH, 25S, 5/6 spiroketal, 3β -OH, Δ^{14} . E: 12β -OH, 25S, 5/5 spiroketal, 3β -OH, Δ^{14} . E: 12β -OH, 25S, 5/5 spiroketal, 3β -OH, Δ^{14} .

of concern is the deviation of the C-15 shift in compound **A**. This resulted from an inadvertent cross-assignment² of the olefinic C-15 and C-15' carbons in the ¹³C NMR of the natural product (assigned 119.0 ppm; actually 120.7 ppm which correlates better with compound **A** at 121.1 ppm). Support for the corrected assignment can be seen by comparison of the chemical shift data for the remaining family of ritterazines.¹³ Additional proof of the details of the structure correction is found in the following paper.¹⁴

An efficient process has been established to convert hecogenin acetate to C-25 hydroxylated 5/6 or 5/5 spiroketals

bearing the C-22,25 stereochemistry of the cephalostatin and ritterazine class, compounds which exhibit subnanomolar anticancer activity. Using this protocol, the structure of the North spiroketal of ritterazine M has been corrected from **1a** to **1b**.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge Arlene Rothwell and Karl Wood for MS data and thank the National Institutes of Health (CA 60548) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and spectral details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0165894

316 Org. Lett., Vol. 4, No. 3, 2002

⁽¹³⁾ See Supporting Information, p 32, ref 14.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Fuchs, L. P.; Lee, S. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 317–318.